

REVIEW FORM

Manuscrij	pt number:			
Title of the	e article:			
Journal ti	itle:			
Name of tl	he reviewer:			
I.	. Evaluation of the article - Part 1			
	Reviewer, Please ES or NO	Evaluation		
	ES OF NO e blank if not applicable.	YEs	NO	
1.	Is the title adequate to the content of the article?			
2	Does the article's abstract present its content adequately?			
3	Do the keywords match the subject matter of the article?			
4.	Does the introduction present the subject matter clearly enough?			
5.	Does the methodology" section is in line with the research objectives"?			
6.	Is the bibliography used in the article adequate?			
7.	Is the subject matter of the article innovative? Doesit shed more light on the matter it examines?			
8.	Does the article contain findings and a clearconclusion?			
9.	Do the findings and conclusion summarise conducted research and aims of the article well?			
10.	Are the figures embedded in the text selected with care and help illustrate the subject mattersuccessfully?			
11.	Are the tables, charts or diagrams used by theauthor readable and designed with care?			
Notes :	and comments:			

II. Evaluation of the article - Part 2

Dear Reviewer, please tick where appropriate.		Answers					
		l Insufficient	2	3	4	5 Outstanding	
1.	To what degree is the subject matter or the article important in scientific terms?						
2.	Is the article readable, consistent and logical?						
3.	Is the usage of terminology corrects?						
Note	es and comments:						

III. Final assessment of the article

Please mark your decision with a tick.

Review Recommendation:								
	Strongly Reject	Reject	Marginally Accept	Accept	Strongly Accept			
Recommendation								
Place		Date		Signature of the reviewer (not electronic)				

Reviewer's personal data will be known only to the Editorial Board of The BOHR International Journal of Social Science and Humanities Research (BIJSSHR), according to the protocol of the *double – blind reviewing process*