

REVIEW FORM

Manuscript number:

Title of the article:

Journal title:

Name of the reviewer:

I. Evaluation of the article - Part 1

<i>Dear Reviewer, Please tick YES or NO Leave blank if not applicable.</i>		Evaluation	
		YEs	NO
1.	Is the title adequate to the content of the article?		
2.	Does the article's abstract present its content adequately?		
3.	Do the keywords match the subject matter of the article?		
4.	Does the introduction present the subject matter clearly enough?		
5.	Does the methodology" section is in line with the research objectives"?		
6.	Is the bibliography used in the article adequate?		
7.	Is the subject matter of the article innovative? Does it shed more light on the matter it examines?		
8.	Does the article contain findings and a clear conclusion?		
9.	Do the findings and conclusion summarise conducted research and aims of the article well?		
10.	Are the figures embedded in the text selected with care and help illustrate the subject matters successfully?		
11.	Are the tables, charts or diagrams used by the author readable and designed with care?		

Notes and comments:

II. Evaluation of the article - Part 2

Dear Reviewer, please tick where appropriate.		Answers				
		1 Insufficient	2	3	4	5 Outstanding
1.	To what degree is the subject matter or the article important in scientific terms?					
2.	Is the article readable, consistent and logical?					
3.	Is the usage of terminology corrects?					
Notes and comments:						

III. Final assessment of the article

Please mark your decision with a tick.

Review Recommendation:					
	Strongly Reject	Reject	Marginally Accept	Accept	Strongly Accept
Recommendation					

.....
Place

.....
Date

.....
Signature of the reviewer
(not electronic)

Reviewer's personal data will be known only to the Editorial Board of The BOHR International Journal of Biocomputing and Nano Technology (BIJBNT), according to the protocol of the *double – blind reviewing process*